Application No. Applicant(s) 09/611,541 FERGASON, JAMES L. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 2674 Jean E Lesperance All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Jean E Lesperance. (2) Warren Sklar. Date of Interviews November 2003. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ✓ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representativel Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes If Yes, brief description: _ Claim(s) discussed: All independent claims-Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

In a telephone interview Examiner Jean Lesperance told
the applicant's reprensentative that his Supervisor Mr. Richard
Hierpe agreed to allow the application after further granch
is made-and updated.